UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA TAMPA DIVISION

0: FEB 21 Pil 2: 55

NORMA GARCIA, as Guardian of Jorge Lizandro Garcia, an incompetent person,

Plaintiff,

v.

CASE NO. 8:99-CV-1611-T-17TGW

KELLY-SPRINGFIELD TIRE COMPANY, a foreign corporation, and THE GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER COMPANY, a foreign corporation,

Defendants.



ORDER

This cause is before the Court on:

Dkt. 443 Motion in Limine to Exclude All Reference To Other Models of Tires Manufactured by Kelly-Springfield Tire Company, Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company, or Other Tire Manufacturers

Dkt. S-37 Response

Defendants move for an order precluding Plaintiffs, their attorneys and all witnesses from referring to, commenting on, or otherwise attempting to introduce at trial, any and all evidence pertaining to the testimony of other models and sizes of tires manufactured by Goodyear or other tire manufacturers. Defendant argues that such evidence will create jury confusion, and create the risk that a juror will find fault with Defendants because of allegations regarding other tire designs by other parties. Defendants also contend that evidence and testimony regarding other tire designs will lengthen the trial and waste time.

487

Case No. 8:99-CV-1611-T-17TGW

Defendants argues that the focus of this trial is a Power King LT 235/85 R 16 steel belted radial tire with DOT serial number PJORAPLV224, and the specifications of this tire provides the proper scope of evidence in support of Plaintiff's claims. Defendant argues that evidence of claims, lawsuits and investigations of other produces will produce hopeless jury confusion. Defendant further argues that evidence of other tire models is not admissible unless the design and manufacture of those models is the same as, or substantially similar to, the model at issue.

Plaintiff responds that the subject tire is identical to, or at least substantially similar to other radial light truck tires manufactured under other brand names, based on expert testimony. Defendant Goodyear investigated the tread throw problem with Load Range E tires, and included tires that were identical or substantially similar to that tire, which failed in the same manner and exhibited the same failure mechanism as the tire in this case.

After consideration, the Court concludes that evidence of other tires is admissible if the design and manufacture of those models is substantially similar to the model at issue.

Accordingly, it is

Case No. 8:99-CV-1611-T-17TGW

ORDERED that the Motion in Limine (Dkt. 443) is denied.

DONE and ORDERED in Chambers, in Tampa, Florida on this day of February, 2004.

ELIZABETH A. KOVACHEVICH United States District Judge

Copies to:

All parties and counsel of record